

## DCUSA Panel Feedback on the DCP 203 Change Report

On the 16 December, the DCUSA Panel sent back the attached DCP 203 change report and have asked the Working Group to determine whether the CP is fit for purpose and to amend the draft legal text based on the following feedback:

- There is no clarification on the impact on the CDCM model associated with the change.

The Working Group agreed to add text to the change report on the impact at paragraph 2.13. The group agreed to add a plain English summary of the solution to the draft legal text section of the report.

- The legal text in paragraph 3.1 refers to 3.2 paragraph and 3.2 refers to paragraph 3.3, as written paragraph 3.3 is not practical. The legal text changes have a significant Impact and cannot not be delivered as drafted because it will have an impact on HH Aggregated metered sites that has not been considered and creates requirements with regards to these sites that cannot be delivered.

The Working Group agreed to recommend this as a housekeeping change to the DCUSA Panel. The group were concerned that this change may be rejected if they amended wording already in place which is a superfluous amendment and does not reflect the intent of this change.

DT agreed to add the comments to the most recent version of the DCP 203 draft legal text.

- Chargeable capacities do not exist on Unmetered Supply and the legal text does not clearly state that there is no impact on tariffs.

We believe this comment may have arisen from the value in the table. The group considered that the new tables provide more detail than the old tables. The base legal text of this changes proposed legal text will be updated to reflect DCUSA v7.7 tables which should address this issue.

The group agreed to add a note in the draft legal text against Schedule 19 to say that this change does not cover pseudo HH.

- It was noted that the CP Timetable needs to be updated to show that there is sufficient time for the change to be implemented after a decision is received from the Authority. Text should be added to the Implementation section of the Change Report clarifying what would happen before the CP is implemented and the actions on each Party.

A plain English summary has been inserted in the draft legal text section of the report.

The group agreed to provide a 1 month implementation period from the incorporation of this change in to the DCUSA.

- The legal text section in the Change Report does not provide an explanation of the changes being proposed by the CP, this part does not go into to details regarding the deletion of Half Hourly. It was noted that this section should be added after the

## DCUSA Panel Feedback on the DCP 203 Change Report

Working Group conclusions on the CP and consultations to show what the group have concluded after receiving comments from industry Parties.

The deletion of HH is to do with the version of the DCUSA that the proposed legal text is baselined against. Using the current version of DCUSA and the plain English summary added to the change report should address this point.

- The deleted text in 2.1 and 3.1 and 4.1 of Sch 19 appears to arise from the WG not using the current baseline of DCUSA when they passed drafting to Wragge's - please confirm it is not the WG's intent to remove those parts (which I think were introduced by DCP179)?

The deleted text in 2.1 and 3.1 and 4.1 of Sch 19 is to do with the version of the DCUSA that the proposed legal text is baselined against.